



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,384  | 09/16/2003  | Mitsuaki Hori        | 031111              | 2168             |
| 38834   | 7590        | 02/09/2006           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP<br>1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW<br>SUITE 700<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |             |                      | SEFER, AHMED N      |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2826                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |  |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/662,384             |  | HORI ET AL.         |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | A. Sefer               |  | 2826                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) A. Sefer. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Michael Caridi. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☒ applicant's representative  
 NATHAN J. FLYNN  
 JESSY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4-6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wristers et al. USPN 5,674,788, Umdeda et al. USPN 6,252,296 and Karasawa JP 6-151829.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants argued that the combined references would not yield the claimed method of manufacturing of the device of the instant application because of differences in the method of making the device. Applicants will further amendment to more distinctly claim the methods which results in the N-structure. Examiner requires explanation of fig. 6 and disclosure in the spec. about the criticality of the claimed range of the N-structure and will consider amendments/arguments when formally submitted..